

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/599,815	FRANCES, EMMANUEL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark Hellner	3663	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Mark Hellner (3) _____
 (2) Nicolas Seckel (4) _____

Date of Interview: 02 / March 2009

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1-4

Identification of prior art discussed: Kuroda et al

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Defining the new down shift laws and triggering downshift according to the new laws when the driver steps on the brake define over Kuroda et al.
A new search and reconsideration will be made upon filing of amended claims
 (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Mark Hellner AU 3663 *Mark Hellner*
 Primary Examiner AU 3663